



General Certificate of Education (A-level)
June 2012

Physics

PHA3/B3/X

**Unit 3: Investigative and practical skills in AS
Physics**

Final

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2012 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

GCE Physics, PHA3/B3/X, Investigative and Practical Skills in AS Physics
Section A, Part 1

Question 1				
1	(a)	method:	d to 0.01 mm from nd , where $n \geq 2$ ✓	1
		accuracy:	d in range 24.45 mm to 24.55 mm [accept 24.5 mm if raw readings are to 0.01 mm] ✓	1
1	(b)(i)	method:	s from track or tracks of length or at least 50s or $\Sigma 50s$; working must show length(s) to track to nearest mm ✓ (reject ridges in a fixed length method)	1
		accuracy:	s in range 0.82(0) mm to 0.88(0) mm ✓	1
1	(b)(ii)	method and accuracy:	number of ridges (obtained from $\frac{\pi d}{s}$), integer value or deduct 1 mark; result in range 90 to 94 ✓✓ [87 to 97 ✓] (accept rounding up or down as final answer)	2
Total				6

Question 2				
2	(a)	results:	(minimum of) six sets of x and R , x range ≥ 25.0 cm; readings of R must be valid ✓ (no credit if ruler readings reversed, ie R increases as x increases)	1
		significant figures:	consistent recording of R data (all to 0.1 k Ω or 0.10 k Ω but accept a mixture if meter auto-ranges); all x data to nearest mm ✓	1
2	(b)	scale	vertical scale to cover at least half the grid vertically (10 major grid squares), with appropriate intervals marked with a frequency ≤ 5 cm; if necessary, a false origin, correctly marked, should be used ✓	1
		points, line and quality	all tabulated points plotted correctly (check at least one including any that appear anomalous); at least 5 points to 2 mm of a suitable best fit line of negative gradient (accept a <u>smooth</u> curve if points justify this) ✓ (no credit if ruler readings reversed)	1

2	(c)(i) & (c)(ii)	deduction and explanation:	<p>for expected straight line, only 'linear' circled $_1\checkmark$ or 0/3 (why not directly proportional) not through the origin [graph has (non-zero) intercept or when x doubles R does <u>not</u> double etc] $_2\checkmark$</p> <p>(why not inversely proportional) straight line [constant gradient or as x increases [changes] in <u>equal</u> steps, R decreases [changes] in <u>equal</u> steps] $_3\checkmark$</p> <p>(if $y = mx + c$ quoted, allow $_3\checkmark$ but withhold $_2\checkmark$ unless explains that $c \neq 0$)</p> <p>[for curve, only 'none of these' circled $_1\checkmark$ or 0/3 (why not direct proportion or linear) not a straight line $_2\checkmark$ (why not inverse proportion) suitable qualitative analysis, eg two sets of $R \times x$ evaluated and shown to give inconsistent results $_3\checkmark$]</p> <p>(allow error carried forward for ruler readings reversed: accept direct proportion or linear depending on whether intercept is zero; if false origin is used accept 'linear' only unless algebra has been used to prove otherwise)</p>	3
2	(d)(i)	deduction:	value of R is increased \checkmark	1
		explanation:	$R = \frac{\rho \times l}{A} \left[\rho = \frac{R \times A}{l} \right]$ quoted \checkmark (accept ambiguity in A) <u>cross-sectional</u> area is reduced [accept qualitative argument] \checkmark (reject 'smaller contact area'; any suggestion that resistivity changes due to smaller width loses this mark)	1 MAX
2	(d)(ii)	deduction:	gradient is (numerically) increased [steeper or becomes more negative] \checkmark	1
Total				10

Section A, Part 2

Question 1				
1	(a) (i)/(ii)	accuracy:	H and h recorded, values sensible, h in range 250 mm to 300 mm; if either is not recorded to the nearest mm withhold sf mark in (b) ✓	1
1	(b)	tabulation:	x_1 /mm x_2 /mm ✓✓ deduct ½ for each missing label or separator, rounding down	2
		results:	6 sets of x_1 and x_2 ✓✓ deduct 1 mark for each missing set; deduct 1 mark if x_1 range < 250 mm	2
		significant figures:	all raw values of x_1 and all raw values of x_2 to nearest mm ✓	1
1	(c)	axes:	marked x_1 /mm (vertical) and x_2 /mm (horizontal) ✓✓ deduct ½ for each missing label or separator, rounding down; [bald x_1 (vertical) and x_2 (horizontal) ✓]; no mark if axes are reversed either or both marks may be lost if the interval between the numerical values is marked with a frequency of > 5 cm	2
		scales:	points should cover at least half the grid horizontally ✓ <u>and</u> half the grid vertically ✓ (if necessary, a false origin, correctly marked, should be used to meet these criteria; if one or both axes have the origin incorrectly marked only deduct 1 mark; either or both marks may be lost for use of a difficult or non-linear scale)	2
		points:	6 points plotted correctly (check at least three, including any anomalous points) ✓✓✓ 1 mark is deducted for every tabulated point missing from the graph and for every point > 1 mm from correct position deduct 1 mark if any point is poorly marked; no credit for false data	3
		line:	(ruled) best fit <u>straight</u> line of positive gradient ✓ maximum acceptable deviation from best fit line is 2 mm, adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled; withhold mark if line is poorly marked	1
		quality:	at least 5 points to ± 2 mm of a suitable line of positive constant gradient (judge from graph, adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled) ✓	1
Total				15

Section B

Question 1			
1	(i)	valid attempt at gradient calculation and correct transfer of data or $_{12}\checkmark = 0$ (if a curve is drawn in error a tangent should be drawn to form the hypotenuse of the triangle) correct transfer of y- and x-step data between graph and calculation $_1\checkmark$ (mark is withheld if points used to determine either step > 1 mm from correct position on grid; if tabulated points are used these must lie on the line) y-step and x-step both at least 8 semi-major grid squares $_2\checkmark$ [5 by 13 or 13 by 5] (if a poorly-scaled graph is drawn the hypotenuse of the gradient triangle should be extended to meet the 8 x 8 criteria)	2
1	(ii)	positive result, no unit, in the range 0.93 to 1.07, or 1.0 $\checkmark\checkmark$ [0.85 to 1.15, 0.9 or 1.1 \checkmark] (reject bland '1')	2
Total			4

Question 2			
2	(i)	use of plumb line (condone 'plumb bob') should be mentioned; a reasonable sketch can earn the mark \checkmark (reject 'pendulum' but condone 'mass hung from string')	1
2	(ii)	(idea that) ball was not at rest when released at top of track [(candidate) may have pushed it/applied force to it] \checkmark (reject 'random error', 'the paper moved', 'anomalous result', 'released from lower point', 'not released smoothly', 'pressure applied', 'table was bumped', 'effect of air currents', 'applied <u>more</u> force', 'ball given a higher velocity', 'ball was spinning')	1
2	(iii)	reject impact C (can be inferred from absence of 604 in working) $_1\checkmark$ measurement obtained from average of five valid impacts $[\Sigma (\text{readings for A, B, D, E and F}) \div 5]$; if no written explanation given but working is shown insist on $(581+583+583+586+588) \div 5$ $_2\checkmark$ [accept 'should repeat C' $_1\checkmark$ and average all six $_2\checkmark$] measurement = 584(.2) (mm) $_3\checkmark$ (no ecf if any read-offs are incorrect; no credit if this answer is given in (iv)) [if C is not rejected and average of all six impacts is calculated the additional read off should be <u>604</u> ; measurement = 587.5 or 588 (mm) $_{123}\checkmark = 1 \text{ MAX}$]	3
2	(iv)	explicit statement or <u>correct</u> working $\left[\frac{588 - 581}{2} \right]$ to show that uncertainty = $\frac{1}{2}$ range $_4\checkmark$ = $(\pm) 3.5$ (mm) $_5\checkmark$ (reject truncation to $(\pm) 4$ (mm)) [if C was not rejected in (iii) uncertainty = $\frac{1}{2}$ range $\left[\frac{604 - 581}{2} \right]$ $_4\checkmark$ = $(\pm) 11.5$ (mm) $_5\checkmark$ (reject truncation to $(\pm) 12$ (mm))]	2
Total			7

Question 3			
3	(a)	voltmeter in parallel with the pencil and ammeter in series with the pencil or 0/2 ✓ suitable means of varying the pd across the pencil, e.g. variable resistor in series with the pencil or suitable potential divider arrangement expect ASE symbols ✓ (reject attempt to make cell have variable output; labelling as 'variable resistor' but showing wrong symbol loses mark)	2
3	(b)	temperature increases [graphite heats up] as <u>current</u> increases (reject reverse argument); accept 'higher pd leads to higher temperature' only if 'higher pd leads to higher current' is also seen ₁ ✓ valid comment about Figure 9, eg as current increases, $\frac{I}{V}$ increases [larger change in current is produced by same change in pd; accept numerical values added to axes and two suitable calculations] ₂ ✓ (reject idea that $\frac{I}{V}$ [R^{-1}] = gradient of the graph or idea that ' I increases faster than V '; reject 'smaller increase in pd produces bigger increase in current') $\therefore (\frac{I}{V} = \frac{1}{R}, \text{ hence})$ resistance decreases as <u>temperature</u> increases [graphite heats up] (reject reverse argument) ₃ ✓ (if ₁ ✓ is earned accept resistance decreases as current increases for ₃ ✓)	3
3	(c)	reasonable <u>straight</u> best-fit line added to Figure 11 (or $12\checkmark=0$); reject line drawn through origin (vertical intercept should be between <u>1 mm and 4 mm</u> above the origin ₁ ✓ correct substitution into gradient calculation using $\Delta l \geq 20 \text{ cm}$ ₂ ✓ (only accept y/x method if line is forced through the origin)	2
		resistance per metre in range $1.15 \times 10^5 \Omega(\text{m}^{-1})$ to $1.25 \times 10^5 \Omega(\text{m}^{-1})$ [$1.2 \times 10^5 \Omega(\text{m}^{-1})$] ₃ ✓	1
3	(d)	use of $R = \frac{\rho \times l}{A}$ [$\rho = \frac{RA}{l}$] (rearranged to give $\frac{R}{l} = \frac{\rho}{A}$) ✓ substitution of $A = w \times t$ (to give $\frac{R}{l} = \frac{\rho}{w \times t}$) ✓	2
		measure w with a ruler [(vernier) callipers or travelling microscope] ✓ (reject micrometer)	1
3	(e)	two sensible procedures with technique explained, eg repeat at <u>different</u> positions (reject different sides of strip) <u>and</u> calculate an average result for w [detect and/or reject anomalous readings] ✓ use a protractor or set-square to ensure ruler is perpendicular to edge of strip [use jaws of vernier callipers to ensure measurement is perpendicular to edge of strip] ✓ view from directly above [condone 'at eye level'] to avoid parallax error ✓	MAX 2
Total			13